Statistical Methods in Natural Language Semantics

Katia Shutova

ILLC University of Amsterdam

1 April 2019

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Taught by...



Katia Shutova Lecturer e.shutova@uva.nl



Samira Abnar Teaching assistant s.abnar@uva.nl



Verna Dankers Teaching assistant vernadankers@gmail.com

Lecture 1: Introduction

Overview of the course

Semantics in wider NLP

Statistical semantics and representation learning

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Word representations

Sentence representations

-Overview of the course

Overview of the course

Focus on language interpretation and modelling meaning

- Methods for learning meaning representations from linguistic data
- Analysis of meaning representations learnt
- Applications
- This is a research seminar
 - Focus on recent progress in the field
 - Lectures
 - You will present and critique research papers
 - and conduct a research project

-Overview of the course

Overview of the topics

Modelling meaning at different levels

- Word representations
- Compositional semantics and sentence representations
- Modelling meaning variation in context: ambiguity and metaphor

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Discourse processing, document representations

-Overview of the course

Overview of the topics

Focus on deep learning and joint learning

- Different neural architectures (e.g. LSTMs, attention, transformers etc.)
- Contextualised representations: ELMo and BERT
- Joint learning at different linguistic levels
- Multitask learning
- Multilingual joint learning
- Learning from multiple modalities (language and vision)

-Overview of the course

Interdisciplinary topics and applications

- Language grounding and multimodal semantics
- Representation learning and neurocognition of language
- Applications: stance detection and fact checking



The dog chewed at the shoes





(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

-Overview of the course

Assessment

- Presentation and participation (25%)
 - Present 1 paper in class
 - Read and discuss other papers
- Practical assignment (25%)
 - 1. Implement a model of sentence meaning
 - 2. Evaluate it in a set of NLP tasks
 - 3. Assessed by presenting results to TAs
 - 4. Deadline: 19 April 2019
- Research project (50%)

No exam!

More information at the first lab session on Tuesday, 2 April.

・ロト・雨・・ヨト・ヨー うへの

-Overview of the course

Research project

Goal: Investigate a new research question

- Apply the models discussed in the course
- Perform experiments and analyse results
- Write a research paper
- Present the results at a poster session
- Organisation
 - We will propose projects on several topics you choose

- Work in groups of 3 or 4
- Deadline: 25 May 2019

-Overview of the course

It gets even better...

1. Best Poster Award



2. If you are interested, we will help you to prepare a research paper for publication (optional)

e.g. CONLL 2019 conference, deadline: 31 May

-Overview of the course

Also note:

Course materials and more info:

https://cl-illc.github.io/semantics

Piazza for discussions:

piazza.com/university_of_amsterdam/spring2019/smnls1

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Access code: elmobert

Contact

- Assignments: Samira and Verna
- Paper presentations: Katia

Sign up to groups on Canvas by Friday, 5 April.

-Semantics in wider NLP

Natural Language Processing

Many popular applications



...and the emerging ones



-Semantics in wider NLP

Why is NLP difficult?

Similar strings mean different things, different strings mean the same thing.

Synonymy: different strings can mean the same thing

The King's speech gave the much needed reassurance to his people. **His majesty's address** reassured the crowds.

Ambiguity: same strings can mean different things

His majesty's address reassured the crowds. His majesty's address is Buckingham Palace, London SW1A 1AA.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

-Semantics in wider NLP

Why is NLP difficult?

Similar strings mean different things, different strings mean the same thing.

- Synonymy: different strings can mean the same thing
 The King's speech gave the much needed reassurance to his people.
 His majesty's address reassured the crowds.
- Ambiguity: same strings can mean different things

His majesty's address reassured the crowds. His majesty's address is Buckingham Palace, London SW1A 1AA.

- Semantics in wider NLP

Why is NLP difficult?

Similar strings mean different things, different strings mean the same thing.

Synonymy: different strings can mean the same thing
 The King's speech gave the much needed reassurance to his people.
 His majesty's address reassured the crowds.

Ambiguity: same strings can mean different things

His majesty's address reassured the crowds. His majesty's address is Buckingham Palace, London SW1A 1AA.

- Semantics in wider NLP

Why is NLP difficult?

Similar strings mean different things, different strings mean the same thing.

Synonymy: different strings can mean the same thing The King's speech gave the much needed reassurance to his people. His majesty's address reassured the crowds.

Ambiguity: same strings can mean different things
 His majesty's address reassured the crowds.
 His majesty's address is Buckingham Palace, London SW1A 1AA.

- Semantics in wider NLP

Why is NLP difficult?

Similar strings mean different things, different strings mean the same thing.

Synonymy: different strings can mean the same thing The King's speech gave the much needed reassurance to his people. His majesty's address reassured the crowds.

Ambiguity: same strings can mean different things

His majesty's address reassured the crowds. His majesty's address is Buckingham Palace, London SW1A 1AA.

- Semantics in wider NLP

Computational semantics

Computational semantics = Natural language understanding (NLU)

an area of NLP concerned with language interpretation and modelling meaning

- 1. Lexical semantics: modelling the meaning of words
- 2. Compositional semantics: modelling the meaning of sentences
- 3. Discourse processing: modelling larger text passages
- 4. Pragmatics: modelling meaning in wider situational context (e.g. social meaning)

Statistical semantics and representation learning

Statistical semantics

Distributional semantics

- The meaning of a word can be defined by its use
- as a distribution of contexts
- extracted from a text corpus





N: dog	N: car
248 bark	493 drive
197 eat	428 park
193 take	317 steal
110 walk	248 stop
101 run	102 break

...

-Statistical semantics and representation learning

Statistical semantics in pre-deep learning era

Vector space models (dimensionality reduction, SVD etc.)

- Information theoretic approaches
- Supervised learning with hand-engineered features
 - a range of classifiers (SVM, decision trees etc.)
 - features based on lexico-syntactic patterns
 - or lexical resources (such as WordNet)
- Unsupervised learning
 - Clustering

Statistical semantics and representation learning

Paradigm shift: representation learning

Deep learning

- ▶ dominates the field since ≈2014
- led to performance improvements in many tasks



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●□ ● ●

Statistical semantics and representation learning

Paradigm shift: representation learning

But why?

- Neural networks have been around for decades.
- What has changed in the way they are applied in NLP?
- Key conceptual innovation:

learning **intermediate meaning representations** in the process of end-to-end training for a particular task.

Statistical semantics and representation learning

Paradigm shift: representation learning

But why?

- Neural networks have been around for decades.
- What has changed in the way they are applied in NLP?
- Key conceptual innovation:

learning **intermediate meaning representations** in the process of end-to-end training for a particular task.

Statistical semantics and representation learning

Example: sentiment analysis



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □ のへで

Statistical semantics and representation learning

Example: sentiment analysis

Word representations



・ロト・西ト・ヨト ・ヨー シック

- Statistical semantics and representation learning

Example: sentiment analysis

Sentence representations



-Word representations

General-purpose word representations

Mikolov et. al. 2013. *Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space*.

Skip-gram model:

- Given a word
- predict its neighboring words
- learn word representations in the process



-Word representations

Word embeddings in NLP tasks

- Random initialization, learn as part of task objective
- External initialization (e.g. skip-gram), update as part of task objective
- External initialization, keep fixed



Learning sentence representations

Task Output

(Long-term) goal:

- a general-purpose neural network sentence encoder
- which can be applied across diverse NLP tasks.

Task Model

Representation for Each Sentence



Input Text

・ (川) (三) (三) (二)

Sentence representations

Why is this useful?

- 1. Improve performance
 - produce rich semantic representations for downstream NLP tasks
- 2. Improve data efficiency
 - provide a model of sentence representation for language understanding tasks which lack training data

What can we expect this model to capture?

Lexical semantics and meaning disambiguation in context

- Word order
- Some syntactic structure
- Idiomatic/non-compositional phrase meanings
- Connotation and social meaning.

Sentence representation models

Unsupervised training on single sentences:

- Sequence autoencoders (Dai and Le, 2015)
- Paragraph vector (Le and Mikolov, 2015)

Unsupervised training on running text:

- SkipThought (Kiros et al., 2015)
- FastSent (Hill et al. 2016)

We will look at these models later in the course.

Sentence representation models

Supervised training on large corpora:

- Dictionaries (Hill et al. 2015)
- Image captions (Hill et al. 2016)
- Natural language inference data (Conneau et al. 2017)

Learning from dictionary definitions

Hill et al., 2016. *Learning to Understand Phrases by Embedding the Dictionary*



-Sentence representations

Natural language inference task

Bowman et al, 2015. A large annotated corpus for learning natural language inference

- Stanford Natural Language Inference (SNLI) corpus
- 570k sentence pairs
- labeled for entailment, contradiction, and semantic independence



James Byron Dean refused to move without blue jeans

{entails, contradicts, neither}

James Dean didn't dance without pants

Sentence representations

More NLI examples

A black race car starts up in front of a crowd of people. A man is driving down a lonely road.

CONTRADICTION

A soccer game with multiple males playing.

Some men are playing a sport.

ENTAILMENT

Sentence representations

More NLI examples

A black race car starts up in front of a crowd of people. A man is driving down a lonely road.

CONTRADICTION

A soccer game with multiple males playing.

Some men are playing a sport.

ENTAILMENT

Sentence representations

More NLI examples

A black race car starts up in front of a crowd of people. A man is driving down a lonely road.

CONTRADICTION

A soccer game with multiple males playing.

Some men are playing a sport.

ENTAILMENT

Sentence representations

More NLI examples

A black race car starts up in front of a crowd of people. A man is driving down a lonely road.

CONTRADICTION

A soccer game with multiple males playing.

Some men are playing a sport.

ENTAILMENT

General architecture for NLI

Conneau et al, 2017. *Supervised Learning of Universal Sentence Representations from Natural Language Inference Data*

InferSent model

- Siamese architecture (same encoder to represent premise and hypothesis)
- 3-way classification (*entails*, contradicts, neither)



InferSent encoder: BiLSTM with max pooling



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

NLI and language understanding

To perform well at NLI, your representations of meaning must handle with the full complexity of compositional semantics...

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- Lexical entailment (cat vs. animal, cat vs. dog)
- Lexical ambiguity (e.g. bank, run)
- Quantification (all, most, fewer than eight etc.)
- Modality (*might, should*, etc.)
- Common sense background knowledge

Evaluation framework: SentEval

Conneau and Kiela, 2018. *SentEval: An Evaluation Toolkit for Universal Sentence Representations*

- Formalised an evaluation standard for sentence representations
- Suite of ten tasks
- Software package automatically trains and evaluates per-task classifiers using supplied representations.

Sentence representations

SentEval tasks

- Classification tasks:
 - sentiment analysis / opinion polarity
 - subjectivity vs. objectivity
 - question type (e.g. for question answering)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- Natural language inference:
 - several datasets
- Semantic similarity tasks:
 - sentence similarity
 - paraphrasing
 - image caption retrieval

Sentence representations

Practical 1

Learning general-purpose sentence representations

- supervised training
- SNLI task
- Implement three variants of the InferSent model:
 - 1. Unidirectional LSTM encoder
 - 2. Bidirectional (Bi-) LSTM encoder
 - 3. BiLSTM encoder with max pooling
- Compare to a baseline averaging word embeddings
- Evaluate using SentEval

Submit a mini-report containing your results and your code Deadline: 19 April

- Sentence representations

Next lecture

Next time we will:

- discuss unsupervised models of semantic composition
 - e.g. neural language models
- give an overview of research projects (get excited!)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Sentence representations

Acknowledgement

Some slides were adapted from Sam Bowman and Steve Clark

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで